Pavel Rosendorf<sup>1</sup>, Libor Ansorge<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Fiala<sup>1</sup>, Tomáš Dostál<sup>2</sup>, Josef Krása<sup>2</sup> and Vlastimil Zahrádka<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> T. G. Masaryk Water Research Institute, Prague, Czech Republic

<sup>2</sup> Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Czech Republic

<sup>3</sup> Povodí Ohře, State Enterprise, Chomutov, Czech Republic









International Interdisciplinary Conference on Land Use and Water Quality Agricultural Production and the Environment Vienna, Austria, 21–24 September 2015



#### European Water Framework Directive: significant pressures and effective measures – need for P–sources apportionment Hypothesis

- Not all phosphorus species are equally important for biological production and eutrophication of water.
- The individual sources of pollution are characterized by different proportion of phosphorus species their eutrophication significance is different.
- Traditionally are sources evaluated by total phosphorus only.
  Therefore, some sources are overestimated and measures taken will be ineffective.
- Reduction of dissolved phosphorus runoff from sources will lead to improve ecological status of water bodies significantly.

#### Why only dissolved P reduction is needed?



#### A brief introduction to reservoir eutrophication and role of phosphorus species

- Phosphorus (P) is key element for lake and reservoirs eutrophication
- The main phosphorus species for the algae and cyanobacteria growth is bioavailable P (mainly dissolved P or SRP) (Peters, 1981; Reynolds et Davies, 2001).
- But the bioavailable P is difficult to determine in reservoirs water because its rapid turnover in the phytoplankton community.
- Therefore, the degree of reservoir eutrophication is traditionally assessed by total P concentration (Vollenweider, 1968; Dillon et Rigler, 1974; OECD, 1982 etc.)





## **Area of interest: Czech Republic**

# Type of pollution: Agricultural non erosion, point (urban wastewater), erosion





Legend

#### **Agricultural non erosion run-off**

50

25

100 Km

Agricultural catchments (no other sources of pollution)

162 screening monitoring sites in the main soil types (spring-summer 2006)

32 monitoring sites (monthly in 2007–2009)

To determine the current state of pollution by phosphorus from agriculture

### Agricultural non erosion run-off (results)

#### 162 screening monitoring sites



VUV

G

Represents 73% of agricultural land in the Czech Republic

Biologicaly active P represents 30-60 % of total P in run-off

### Agricultural non erosion run-off (results)

#### 32 monitoring sites



VUV

IGN

Non erosion run-off has very little effect on water eutrophication

# TGM

#### P run-off from point sources (urban wastewater)

2 catchments with small towns and villages (1-6.217 inhab.)

Monitoring of different type of wastewater discharge (WWTP, WWTP with P removal, direct discharge, rainwater discharge system).

Total P and dissolved P determination .

100 Km

50

To determine characteristic P concentrations and ratios of P species in urban wastewater

#### P run-off from point sources (results)



VUV

IGN

common WWTP, direct discharge and rainwater discharge system



#### **Agricultural erosion run-off**

#### Transport of erosion sediment to surface water in small catchments



## TGM

#### Storm rainfall – erosion run-off (results)





### Pilot river basin of water supply reservoir Stanovice

Modelling of the eutrophication potential according:
 Emissions of dissolved P
 Distance of source from reservoir dam (along the river)
 Retention of P in rivers and fish ponds

The resulting list of different P-sources ordered by decreasing importance for Stanovice reservoir eutrophication





# Pilot river basin of water supply reservoir Stanovice (results)

- At the top of the list are mainly point sources
- Several plots are significantly threatened by erosion and contributes to the reservoir eutrophication





## **Conclusions** (valid for the czech situation only)

- Agricultural non erosion phosphorus run-off is typical by low Pspecies concentration and its impact on eutrophication of surface water bodies is small.
- Discharge of wastewater (especially from small towns and villages) is key source of dissolved P and there is no difference between common WWTP and direct sewage water discharge.
- Application of P-removal in WWTP reduced dissolved P run-off effectively.
- Contribution of erosion run-off to eutrophication of water is little bit complicated. Content of dissolved P in run-off is very low, but transport of soil particles into water with low P concentration may result in P release and eutrophication.

# Thank you for your attention

Pavel Rosendorf, rosendorf@vuv.cz





This article was prepared with support of the projects VG20122015092 "Erosion runoff increased risk of the residents and the water quality exposure in the context of the expected climate change" and TA02020808 "Methods for the optimization of the measures in the reservoirs catchment area, leading to the effective reduction of eutrophication"